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#### Abstract

With the development of science and technology, Automated Transportation Information System (ATIS) is actively recommended in communications management and the traffic control. The ATIS observers is provided with the information, such as, traffic counts of roads implemented by the sensor of traffic flow and both the location and movement of vehicle recorded by ATIS. The inputs of the estimation are the traffic counts on part of roads and the information of the vehicle movement recorded by ATIS. The output is the Origin-Destination (OD) matrix estimated by the use of GLS method. The initial sample sub-OD matrix from the vehicle with ATIS is estimated first and further the link choice proportion is obtained. Hence, the estimate of OD matrix is extracted from the partial information of the sub-OD matrix and the means of population information of traffic counts by using a statistical model. Its implementation is demonstrated by a numerical example.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Origin-Destination matrix is the basic data for the traffic planning and management. It is demand of traffic flows from origins to destinations, which is expressed as a matrix to explore the movement of space flow. Statistical techniques have become popular in the estimation or updating of OD matrix from traffic counts. There are many famous experts, such as Bell, Cascetta, Snikers, Nguyen, Willumsen, Weibul, Van Zuylen, Maher and McNeil etc, who do a lot of important work about OD matrix estimation from traffic counts. General commenting, previous statistical researches on OD matrix are based on traffic counts, link choice proportion and prior OD matrix. The link choice proportion is usually obtained by the traffic assignment model. Different traffic assignment model produces different link choice proportion. The original source of link choice proportion makes significant impact on the method and accuracy of OD matrix estimation. The prior OD matrix is generally originated from the survey with high cost and long term. However, the proposed method in the article can overcome the shortcoming that the prior OD matrix is generally originated from the survey and research method can not be updated in short time. It is worthful noted that the method can obtain the real-time and reliable data and update the OD matrix simultaneously. Especially, the proposed algorithms can be effective and improve precision of estimation of OD matrix.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Statistical models with traffic counts and information from ATIS are firstly described in Section 2. Discussion of the model and algorithm are set in Section 3 and a numerical example is given in Sections 4. Finally, conclusions are given in Section 5.

## 2. THE MODEL OF OD MATRIX

Let $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{L})$ be a transportation network, N is the set of node and L is the set of link. O is the set of origin of the network and the number of the node is h . D is the set of destination of the network and the number of node is $l . \mathrm{O}$ and D are the subset of N . T is the set of origin-destination pairs of the network and the number of OD pairs is $n$. M is the set of the observed link and the subset of $L$. The number of the observed link is $m$.

### 2.1 The Data Collection Using ATIS

Suppose we need estimate one day or one week's OD matrix in the network. $x_{g k}$ ( $\mathrm{g}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~h} ; \mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, 1$ ) is denoted as the number of vehicles observed using ATIS from the origin g to the destination k . Let $X^{\prime}$ be sub-OD matrix of the vehicles observed using ATIS, $X^{\prime}=\left[x_{g k}\right]_{h \times l} . r_{i j}(\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{j}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n})$ is denoted as the link choice proportion, which is the traffic counts using ATIS on the observed link i between the OD pairs j and the traffic counts of the OD pairs j using ATIS. Let $R$ is the matrix of link choice proportion using ATIS, $R=\left[r_{i j}\right]_{m \times n} . p_{i j}(\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{j}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{n})$ is denoted as the link choice proportion, which is the traffic counts on the observed link i between the OD pairs j and the traffic counts of the OD pairs j. Let $P$ is the matrix of link choice proportion in the network, $P=\left[p_{i j}\right]_{m \times n}$. $P$ and $R$ have the following relationship
$R=P+\beta$.
$\beta$ is random error, $\beta=\left[\beta_{i j}\right]_{m \times n}$, and $E(\beta)=0$. Random errors are independent.
Hence, $E(R)=E(P)+E(\beta)=E(P)$.
Let $\bar{R}=E(R), \bar{R}=\left[\bar{r}_{i j}\right]_{m \times n}, \bar{P}=E(P), \bar{P}=\left[\bar{p}_{i j}\right]_{m \times n}$.
Hence, $\bar{P}=\bar{R}$.

### 2.2 The Model

$y_{g k}(\mathrm{~g}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~h} ; \mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, l)$ is denoted as the number of vehicles from the origin g to the destination k. Let $Y^{\prime}$ be OD matrix of the network, $Y^{\prime}=\left[y_{i j}\right]_{h \times l} \cdot a_{g}(\mathrm{~g}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~h})$ is denoted as the factor, which influencing the proportion of $Y^{\prime}$ to $X^{\prime}$ by the factor of the original g . Let $A^{\prime}$ be the origin factor matrix, $A^{\prime}=\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{h}\right) . b_{k} \quad(\mathrm{k}=1,2, \ldots, l)$ is denoted as the factor, which influencing the proportion of $Y^{\prime}$ to $X^{\prime}$ by the factor of the destination k. Let $B^{\prime}$ be the destination factor matrix, $B^{\prime}=\operatorname{diag}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{l}\right) . Y^{\prime}$ and $X^{\prime}$ have the following relationship
$Y^{\prime}=A^{\prime} X^{\prime} B^{\prime}+\alpha^{\prime}$.
$\alpha^{\prime}$ is random error, $\alpha^{\prime}=\left[\alpha_{i j}\right]_{h \times l}$, and $E\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)=0$. The random errors are independent. In order to formulation, we can rewrite the equation (4) as $Y=A X B+\alpha$.

Y is another form of OD matrix, $Y=\operatorname{diag}\left[y_{11}, y_{12}, . ., y_{h l}\right]$. X is another form of OD matrix of vehicles observed using ATIS, $X=\operatorname{diag}\left[x_{11}, x_{12}, \ldots, x_{h l}\right] . A$ is another form of the origin factor


Hence, $E(Y)=E(A X B+\alpha)=E(A X B)+E(\alpha)=A E(X) B$.
$v_{i}(\mathrm{i}=1,2, \ldots, \mathrm{~m})$ is denoted as the traffic counts on the link i. Let V be traffic counts matrix of the link observed, $V=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{m}\right)^{T} . \mathrm{V}$ and Y have the following relationship $V=P Y I+\eta$.
$\mathrm{I}=[1,1, \ldots, 1]^{T}, \eta=\left[\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}, \eta_{3}, \ldots, \eta_{m}\right]^{T}$, and $E(\eta)=0$.The P is independent to Y .
Hence, $E(V)=E(P Y I)+E(\eta)=E(P) E(Y) E(I)=E(P) E(Y) I$.
Let $\bar{V}$ be the average traffic counts matrix of the link observed during a certain period, $\bar{V}=\left(\bar{v}_{1}, \bar{v}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{v}_{m}\right)^{T}$.
Hence, $e=\bar{V}-E(V)=\bar{V}-E(P) E(Y) I$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\bar{V}-E(P) E(A X B+\alpha) I \\
& =\bar{V}-E(P) A E(X) B I . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\bar{X}=E(X), \bar{X}=\operatorname{diag}\left[\bar{x}_{11}, \bar{x}_{12}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{h l}\right]$.
Hence, $e=\bar{V}-E(P) A E(X) B I$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\bar{V}-\bar{P} A \bar{X} B I \\
& =\bar{V}-\bar{R} A \bar{X} B I . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, $\bar{V}=\bar{R} A \bar{X} B I+e$.

The $e$ is random error, and $E(e)=0$. The random error and explanatory variable are independent.
The estimations $\hat{Y}=A X B$ can be obtained by minimizing the objective function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{A, B} e^{T} e=(\bar{V}-\bar{R} A \bar{X} B I)^{T}(\bar{V}-\bar{R} A \bar{X} B I) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition to the statistical information of different periods, we can also establish an improved objective function
$\min _{A, B} \sum_{t=1}^{\theta} \lambda_{t}\left(\bar{V}_{t}-\bar{R}_{t} A \bar{X}_{t} B I\right)^{T}\left(\bar{V}_{t}-\bar{R}_{t} A \bar{X}_{t} B I\right)$.
$\lambda_{t}(\mathrm{t}=1,2, \ldots, \theta)$ is the weight of different periods and $\theta$ is number of different independent periods.

## 3. DISCUSSION OF THE MODEL AND ALGORITHM

### 3.1 Discussion of Two Different Special Conditions of the Model

### 3.1.1 B Is Constant Matrix and A Is Unknown Matrix

While B is constant matrix and A is unknown matrix, we discuss the solutions of the model. Let

$W=\left[w_{i g}\right]_{m \times h}$.
Hence, $e=\bar{V}-W A^{\prime} I$.
Hence, $\bar{V}=W A^{\prime} I+e$.
The estimations $\hat{Y}=A X B$ can be obtained by minimizing the objective function
$\min _{A} e^{T} e=\left(\bar{V}-W A^{\prime} I\right)^{T}\left(\bar{V}-W A^{\prime} I\right)$.
Hence, $W^{T} W \hat{A}^{\prime} I=W^{T} \bar{V}$.
Hence, $\hat{A}^{\prime} I=\left(W^{T} W\right)^{-1} W^{T} \bar{V}$.
According to the mentioned above, it proves that $\hat{A}$ is the best linear unbiased estimates.
Theorem1. As to the least square estimate on $\mathrm{AX}=\mathrm{b}$, if the rank of $\mathrm{A}=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{m \times n}$ is $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{m}>\mathrm{n})$, that is if the columns of A are not linearly relative, there is only one solution to the problem of least squares estimate.

As all the columns of W are independent to each other, W is not linearly relevant. Therefore, there is only one solution to (16).

### 3.1.2 A Is Constant Matrix and B Is Unknown Matrix

While A is constant matrix and B is unknown matrix, we discuss the solutions of the model.

Let

$U=\left[u_{i k}\right]_{m \times l}$.
Hence, $e=\bar{V}-U B^{\prime} I$.
Hence, $\bar{V}=U B^{\prime} I+e$.
The estimations $\hat{Y}=A X B$ can be obtained by minimizing the objective function $\min _{B} e^{T} e=\left(\bar{V}-U B^{\prime} I\right)^{T}\left(\bar{V}-U B^{\prime} I\right)$.
Hence, $U^{T} U \hat{B}^{\prime} I=U^{T} \bar{V}$.
Hence, $\hat{B}^{\prime} I=\left(U^{T} U\right)^{-1} U^{T} \bar{V}$.
According to the mentioned above, it proves that $\hat{B}$ is the best linear unbiased estimates and there is only one solution to (21).

### 3.2 Quality of Solutions of the Model

Let $f=(\bar{V}-\bar{R} A \bar{X} B I)^{T}(\bar{V}-\bar{R} A \bar{X} B I)$.

### 3.2.1 Proposition 1. (24) is pseudo convex function to variable matrix $A$ and $B$.

Proof. Let B be constant matrix. Hence, (24) is convex function. Hence, $\forall \vec{a}, \vec{a}^{\prime} \in \vec{R}^{+}$,
Hence, $\left(\vec{a}^{\prime}-\vec{a}\right)^{T} \frac{\partial f(\vec{a}, \vec{b})}{\partial \vec{a}} \geq 0$ and $\left(\vec{a}^{\prime}-\vec{a}\right)^{T} \frac{\partial f\left(\vec{a}, \vec{b}^{\prime}\right)}{\partial \vec{a}} \geq 0$.
That is $f\left(\vec{a}^{\prime}, \vec{b}\right) \geq f(\vec{a}, \vec{b})$ and $f\left(\vec{a}^{\prime}, \vec{b}^{\prime}\right) \geq f\left(\vec{a}, \vec{b}^{\prime}\right)$.
Let A be constant matrix. Hence, (24) is convex function. Hence, $\forall \vec{b}, \vec{b}^{\prime} \in \vec{R}^{+}$,
Hence, $\left(\vec{b}^{\prime}-\vec{b}\right)^{T} \frac{\partial f(\vec{a}, \vec{b})}{\partial \vec{b}} \geq 0$ and $\left(\vec{b}^{\prime}-\vec{b}\right)^{T} \frac{\partial f\left(\vec{a}^{\prime}, \vec{b}\right)}{\partial \vec{b}} \geq 0$.
That is $f\left(\vec{a}, \vec{b}^{\prime}\right) \geq f(\vec{a}, \vec{b})$ and $f\left(\vec{a}^{\prime}, \vec{b}^{\prime}\right) \geq f\left(\vec{a}^{\prime}, \vec{b}\right)$.
Hence, $\forall \vec{a}, \vec{a}^{\prime}, \vec{b}, \vec{b}^{\prime} \in \vec{R}^{+}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\vec{a}^{\prime}, \vec{b}^{\prime}\right) \geq f(\vec{a}, \vec{b}) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, (24) is also pseudo convex function to variable matrix A and B.
Theorem2. If $f(x)$ is pseudo convex function, $f(x)$ is strictly quasic convex function.
Theorem3. Let R be convex set, $f(x)$ is strictly quasic convex function, thus, the partial optimum solutions of the programming problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min f(x) \\
& x \in R
\end{aligned}
$$

is the global optimum solutions.
Hence, the partial optimum of (12) is the global optimum solution.

### 3.2.2 Proposition 2. The Estimations $\hat{Y}$ Obtained By (12) Is Unique.

Proof. Let $A_{1}, B_{1}$ and $A_{2}, B_{2}$ be the global optimum solutions of (12). Hence, $\hat{Y}_{1}=A_{1} \bar{X} B_{1}$ and $\hat{Y}_{2}=A_{2} \bar{X} B_{2}$. Put $A_{1}$ into (12). (12) translate (16). Since $A_{1}, B_{1}$ is the global optimum solutions of (12), $B_{1}$ is the global optimum solutions of (16). Hence, $A_{1}, B_{1}$ is also the global optimum solutions of (17). Put $A_{1}, B_{1}$ into (17). By formulating, we can obtain $A_{1} \bar{X} B_{1} I=\left(R^{T} R\right)^{-1} R^{T} \bar{V}$. Hence, as mentioned above, Put $A_{2}, B_{2}$ into (17). By formulating, we can also obtain $A_{2} \bar{X} B_{2} I=\left(R^{T} R\right)^{-1} R^{T} \bar{V}$. Hence, $A_{1} \bar{X} B_{1} I=A_{2} \bar{X} B_{2} I$. Hence, $\hat{Y}_{1}=\hat{Y}_{2}$. Hence, the estimations $\hat{Y}$ obtained by (12) is unique.

### 3.3 Algorithm

It's difficult to estimate parameters of such a complicated model directly with normal traditional algorithms. So, a new algorithm is designed here. The advantage of the algorithm is that it makes the calculation easier by reducing dimensions, especially for estimating the parameters of complicated model. Meanwhile, the algorithm overcomes the shortcomings of using the non-linear least square directly by making use of the advantage of linear least squares to estimate the OD matrix. The procedures of the proposed algorithm are shown as follows.

Step1. $A_{0}$ and $B_{0}$ as original values are given and set the parameter $\tau$ of control.
Calculate $f_{0}=\left(\bar{V}-\bar{R} A_{0} \bar{X} B_{0} I\right)^{T}\left(\bar{V}-\bar{R} A_{0} \bar{X} B_{0} I\right)$.
Step2. $A_{1}$ is generated by $\min e_{0}^{T} e_{0}=\left(\bar{V}-\bar{R} A \bar{X} B_{0} I\right)^{T}\left(\bar{V}-\bar{R} A \bar{X} B_{0} I\right)$. Calculate $f_{1}=\left(\bar{V}-\bar{R} A_{1} \bar{X} B_{0} I\right)^{T}\left(\bar{V}-\bar{R} A_{1} \bar{X} B_{0} I\right)$. If the condition $\left|f_{0}-f_{1}\right| \leq \tau$ is satisfied, let $f_{0}=f_{1}, A_{0}=A_{1}$ and go to step 4. Otherwise, go to Step 3.
Step3. $B_{1}$ is generated by $\min e_{1}^{T} e_{1}=\left(\bar{V}-\bar{R} A_{1} \bar{X} B I\right)^{T}\left(\bar{V}-\bar{R} A_{1} \bar{X} B I\right)$. Calculate

$$
f_{2}=\left(\bar{V}-\bar{R} A_{1} \bar{X} B_{1} I\right)^{T}\left(\bar{V}-\bar{R} A_{1} \bar{X} B_{1} I\right) . \text { Let } A_{0}=A_{1}, \quad B_{0}=B_{1}, \quad f_{0}=f_{2} .
$$

If the condition $\left|f_{1}-f_{2}\right| \leq \tau$ is satisfied, go to step 4. Otherwise, go to Step 2.
Step4. Calculate $\hat{Y}=A_{0} \bar{X} B_{0}$. The process terminates.

## 4. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

To demonstrate the use of the proposed method, suppose the following sampled data are available for the small synthetic network described. The proposed method is described by the
example. The network example is shown with 4 OD pairs in Figurel. The data is presented in Table1.


Figure 1. A Simple Network

Table1. Data of the Network Example

| OD Pairs | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $P_{3}$ | $P_{4}$ | $P_{5}$ | $P_{6}$ | Sub-OD | Actual OD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From 1 to 5 | 1 | 0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 1 | 0 | 100 | 120 |
| From 1 to 6 | 1 | 0 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0 | 1 | 60 | 80 |
| From 2 to 5 | 0 | 1 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 1 | 0 | 50 | 60 |
| From 2 to 6 | 0 | 1 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0 | 1 | 80 | 100 |
| Traffic Counts | 200 | 160 | 210 | 160 | 180 | 180 |  |  |

Table2.Two Methods of OD Matrix Estimation

| Estimation Methods | $y_{11}$ | $y_{12}$ | $y_{21}$ | $y_{22}$ | Error Precision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| the New Estimation Method | 122 | 79 | 59 | 102 | $0.86 \%$ |
| the Old Estimation Method | 115 | 79 | 62 | 95 | $1.66 \%$ |

Programs of OD matrix estimation have been written in the Matlab programming language. The result of OD matrix estimation is showed in Table2. The error precision is measured by average relative error, which is
$E=\frac{\sqrt{\sum_{i j}\left(\frac{y_{i j}-y_{i j}^{0}}{y_{i j}^{0}}\right)^{2}}}{n} \times 100 \%$
In order that the new least square method compare with old least square methods, we take the sub-OD matrix as the prior OD matrix to estimate OD matrix. We select a traditional least square method, which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min e^{T} e=\left(V-P Y^{\prime \prime}\right)^{T}\left(V-P Y^{\prime \prime}\right)+\left(Y^{\prime \prime}-Y_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{T}\left(Y^{\prime \prime}-Y_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right), \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

$Y^{\prime \prime}=\left[y_{11}, y_{12}, \ldots, y_{h l}\right]$.
The result of OD matrix estimation is showed in Table 2. Comparing the error precision of the two methods, the results show that the error precision of the new method is $0.86 \%$ and the error precision of the old method is $1.66 \%$. The error precision of the new method is less. Therefore, the error precision is quite acceptable. It also illuminates performance of the new method is very good.

Moreover, we can change the sub-OD matrix to test the new method. The new sub-OD matrix can be generated by random numbers of normal distribution. We can obtain a group of data of the sub-OD matrix and the result is showed in Table 3. The average error precision of the new method is $0.76 \%$ and the error precision of the old method is $5.61 \%$. The error precision of
the new method is sensational. The results show that the new method has very good stable performance.

Table3. OD Matrix Estimation by Changing the Sub-OD Matrix

|  | Sub-OD |  |  |  | the New Method |  |  |  | the Old Method |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{11}$ | $x_{12}$ | $x_{21}$ | $x_{22}$ | $y_{11}$ | $y_{12}$ | $y_{21}$ | $y_{22}$ | E | $y_{11}$ | $y_{12}$ | $y_{21}$ | $y_{22}$ | E |
| 60 | 40 | 30 | 50 | 121 | 80 | 60 | 100 | 0.44\% | 102 | 82 | 65 | 85 | 5.59\% |
| 60 | 39 | 29 | 49 | 121 | 79 | 59 | 101 | 0.60\% | 103 | 82 | 65 | 85 | 5.48\% |
| 59 | 39 | 30 | 49 | 120 | 81 | 60 | 100 | 0.50\% | 102 | 82 | 65 | 85 | 5.73\% |
| 60 | 40 | 31 | 49 | 120 | 81 | 61 | 99 | 0.89\% | 102 | 82 | 66 | 85 | 5.86\% |
| 60 | 40 | 30 | 49 | 120 | 81 | 60 | 100 | 0.56\% | 102 | 82 | 65 | 85 | 5.72\% |
| 60 | 40 | 28 | 49 | 122 | 79 | 59 | 101 | 0.69\% | 103 | 82 | 64 | 85 | 5.44\% |
| 61 | 41 | 28 | 49 | 121 | 79 | 59 | 101 | 0.61\% | 103 | 82 | 64 | 85 | 5.43\% |
| 56 | 35 | 29 | 52 | 123 | 77 | 57 | 103 | 1.74\% | 102 | 80 | 64 | 87 | 5.19\% |
| 55 | 31 | 33 | 47 | 121 | 80 | 60 | 101 | 0.43\% | 101 | 80 | 67 | 85 | 6.16\% |
| 60 | 43 | 24 | 47 | 122 | 78 | 58 | 102 | 1.14\% | 103 | 83 | 64 | 85 | 5.51\% |
|  |  |  |  | Average Error |  |  |  | 0.76\% | Average Error |  |  |  | 5.61\% |

Table4. OD Matrix Estimation by Reducing the Observed Links

|  | Average Error of the New | Average Error of the Old |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Reducing One Observed Link | $1.05 \%$ | $1.93 \%$ |
| Reducing Two Observed Links | $1.94 \%$ | $2.44 \%$ |

Further, we can also study the result of OD matrix estimation by reducing different observed links. The result of OD matrix estimation is showed in Table4. When we reduce a different observed link one by one, we can obtain the error precision of six conditions. The average error precision of the new method is $1.05 \%$ and the average error precision of the old method is $1.93 \%$. The error precision of the new method is less. In addition, when we reduce two different observed links one by one, we can obtain the error precision of fifteen conditions. The average error precision of the new method is $1.94 \%$ and the average error precision of the old method is $2.44 \%$. The error precision of the new model is also less. It can testify the model has good quality. Therefore, it validates the new OD matrix estimation method is steady and reliable.

## 5. CONCLUSION

The paper proposes the OD matrix estimation model by the use of GLS method. The new approach is advantageous in three aspects: (1) The link choice proportion is obtained by using ATIS. It offers a new method for getting the original source of link choice proportion. And it can be updated in short time and improves accuracy of OD matrix estimation. (2) Due to the sub-OD matrix replacing the prior OD, the proposed method can obtain the real-time and reliable data of OD matrix in short time and update the OD matrix simultaneously. (3) The proposed method makes better use of related data and information. Not only that results obtained from different periods of time can be combined and utilized for the estimation of OD matrix, but additional information related to the reliability and variations of the observed values can also be incorporated into the model. In addition, this paper proves the uniqueness of solutions of OD matrix estimation. And by the numerical example, it demonstrates the applicability of the new model and it shows that the new model can be effective and improve
precision of OD matrix estimation.
Some future extensions of research work are suggested. The algorithm should be further studied. The model for a large-scale network can be explored. The model can also be extended to a dynamic framework.
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