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#### Abstract

A study is conducted to develop an air travel demand forecasting model to predict the volume of air passengers travelling in specific routes in the Philippines. The characteristics of domestic air travel demand in the Philippines are investigated through the analysis of statistical data and determination of the correlational relationship between the domestic air passenger traffic, population and gross domestic product (GDP) of the country. Air shares of every route are determined as a function of fare and travel time between the two most competing modes of inter-island travel in the country namely; water and air transport modes. Using the modified gravity model as a function of population, gross domestic product, air mode share and distance, the air travel demand forecasting model is verified. Results show that $62 \%$ of the variation of the dependent variable is explained by the independent variables, i.e. population, GDP, distance, air share, in the model.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Transportation is the act of travel from an origin to a specific destination to cater services, goods, commodities and human activities in terms of business, sports, leisure, education and a lot more trip purposes. The adequacy and efficiency of transportation system in a country is highly important to ensure maximum mobility of people, goods and services.

Over the years, the overall demand for transportation, e.g., in water, land and air, has been rapidly increasing. In the Philippines, which is composed of three main group of islands for its 7,100 islands, i.e., Luzon, Visayas and Mindanao, the water mode of transportation should have played a vital role in connecting its people to other islands. However, water mode of transportation and its services are limited and often times unreliable. In the past ten year, it is observed that the demand for air transportation grows at faster rate than in water mode of transportation. The rapid increase in air travel is also caused by many underlying factors which include increasing population, increasing value of time, increasing gross domestic product (GDP), rising educational levels, changing lifestyles, improved technology and many more.

Today's challenge of the growing demand for air travel has caused a number of problems and harsh realities to be attended to: escalation of fuel prices, congestion at air terminals, inadequate facilities, dissatisfaction of passengers, delays of baggage, delays of departures, high transportation cost, high load factors, etc., and even unprofitable flights experienced in other routes (Trinidad, 1987).

The above problems, inherent in air travel, are also experienced in the Philippines in some degrees. The connectivity to the country's more than four thousand islands is another challenge to the government. These problems can be partially solved if there is detailed study and planning. However, good planning and design of airports and air route systems must be
preceded by a logical projection of travel demand. In this process then, air travel demand forecasting plays an important role.

## 2. DOMESTIC AIR TRANSPORTATION IN THE PHILIPPINES

In the Philippine setting, all the domestic commercial airlines, such as, AirAsia Philippines, Cebu Pacific Air, Philippine Airlines, Fil-Asian Airways, Sky Pasada, South East Asian Airlines, Spirit of Manila Airlines, Zest Airways are owned and operated by private companies. With quite a number of airline providers, competition has been close encouraging every company to create business strategies like budget-friendly fare that is very comparable to sea fare for Filipinos and foreign passengers. Thus, the demand for air travel in the Philippines increases significantly through time and is expected to increase more in the near future.

In the whole Philippine archipelago, there are 71 airfields as reported and tallied by the Airport Transportation Authority (ATA) to include commercial airfields, military and private airports. Two (2) of the airline companies, e.g., the Philippines Airlines and the Cebu Pacific Air, have wide operations coverage of commercial airfields in the domestic air markets. Figure 1 shows the domestic air route map of Philippine Airlines and Figure 2 shows the route interactions for the Cebu Pacific Air.


Figure 1. Philippine Airlines Route Map (Source: www.philippinairlines.com)


Figure 2. Cebu Pacific Air Destination Interaction Route Map (Source: ww.cebupacific.com)

## 3. MODEL DEVELOPMENT

The gravity model is one of the most widely used trip distribution techniques in transportation planning. Early studies measured trip generation and attraction components in terms of zonal populations, and the resistance function was assumed to be inversely related to distance. This relationship is similar to Sir Isaac Newton's theory of Gravitation. The early gravity model is expressed in the mathematical form as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{i j}=\frac{K \times P_{i} \times P_{j}}{D^{n}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$I_{i j} \quad$ : interaction between $i$ and $j$
$P_{i} \quad$ : population at $i$
$P_{j} \quad$ : population at $j$
$D \quad$ : distance between $i$ and $j$
$K \quad$ : some constant
$n \quad$ : some exponent
Kessler (1965) quoted in his thesis that Stewart and Warntz, and Rice and Gallagher used population modifiers in the generalized expression for total interaction. The model is called modified gravity model. Stewart and Warntz found that some areas and cities have influences greater than the influences of population. He decided to carry the physical analogy further, that the molecular weights should be assigned to the population of different regions, just as specific weighs are attached to molecules of physical masses. The Stewart and Warntz equation became:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i j}=k\left(\mu_{1} p_{1}\right)^{\alpha_{1}}\left(\mu_{2} p_{2}\right)^{\alpha_{2}} / d_{i j}^{\alpha_{3}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$\mu_{1, \mu_{2}}:$ molecular weights of the population at regions $i$ and $j$, respectively
$\alpha \quad:$ a parameter

Trinidad (1987) also developed a model based on the modified gravity model relating the population factor to the modal share in the kingdom of Thailand. The investigators' model was written as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{i j}=\frac{k\left(P_{i} P_{j}\right)^{\alpha_{1}}}{d_{i j} j_{2}} \times \operatorname{share}_{i j}  \tag{4}\\
\operatorname{share}_{i j}=\frac{e^{v_{a}}}{e^{v_{a}+e^{b}+e^{v_{r}}}} \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where:
$T_{i j} \quad$ : air travel demand
$P_{i}, P_{j} \quad$ : population in regions i and j respectively
$d_{i j} \quad$ : the distance between the two regions
share $_{i j}$ : the modal share of air mode with respect to the other modes of transport, water and bus
$v_{\mathrm{a},}, v_{\mathrm{b}}, v_{\mathrm{r}}$ : the utilities for air, bus and rail modes of transportation's fare and time variables

Matthews (1995) had done measurement and forecasting of peak passenger flow at several airports in the United Kingdom. According to his research, annual passenger traffic demand can be seen as the fundamental starting point, driven by economic factors and forecasting. Forecasts of hourly flows are needed for long-term planning related with infrastructure requirements. Hourly forecasts are almost always based on forecasts of annual flows.

Bafail, Abed, and Jasimuddin (2001) had developed a model for forecasting the longterm demand for domestic air travel in Saudi Arabia. They utilized several explanatory variables such as total expenditures and population to generate model formulation.

Another study for air travel demand forecasting was done by Grosche, Rothlauf, and Heinzl (2007). According to their research, there are some variables that can affect the air travel demand, including population, GDP and buying power index. He considered GDP as a representative variable for the level of economic activity.

From the past studies on transportation modeling and the geographic and demographic characteristics of the country, a modified gravity model of the Philippines is developed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i j}=\frac{k\left(P_{i} P_{j}\right)^{\alpha_{1}}\left(G_{i} G_{j}\right)^{\alpha_{2}}}{d_{i j}^{\alpha_{3}}} \times \operatorname{share}_{a} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$T_{i j} \quad:$ air travel demand from origin $i$ to destination $j$
$P_{i}, P_{j} \quad$ : population in origin $i$ and destination $j$
$G_{i}, G_{j} \quad:$ Gross Domestic Product in origin $i$ and destination $j$
$d_{i j} \quad:$ distance between the origin $i$ and destination $j$
share $_{a}:$ modal share of air mode with respect to the water mode of transport between $i$ and $j$

Considering that the model is based from the concept of modified gravity model, the air travel demand between origin $i$ and destination $j$ will thus be assumed to depend upon the following parameters:
(a) The population $P_{i}$ and $P_{j}$ of the two regions

As observed in the past studies of different investigators of travel demand it is evident that the factor of population is a highly substantial factor to consider. Thus, we can hypothesize that the greater the population in an area there is a greater generation of trips.
(b) The economic factor using the GDP of origin $G_{i}$ and destination $G_{j}$.

With the improved economic market of the Philippines, it is assumed that this factor will significantly relate to the travel demand in the country. And taking into consideration the ideas from previous researchers, it is assumed that this factor will yield valuable result.
(c) The distance $d_{i j}$ between routes.

Distance is an accomplished factor through time as an agent of travel among passengers throughout the world based on data and examination.
(d) Air modal share

The transport share contributed by the air transport relative to the competing mode of transportation which is the water transport mode is also considered and
analyzed through the statistical records of previous year's data. Using the logit model, the air share can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{share}_{i j}=\frac{e^{v_{1}}}{e^{v_{1}+e^{v_{2}}+e^{v_{3}}}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we are evaluating with respect to water transport mode, air share can be illustrated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{share}_{a}=\frac{e^{v_{a}}}{e^{v_{a}}+e^{v_{w}}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$a, w=$ represent air and water, respectively
$v^{a}, v^{w}=$ utility based for air and water mode's fare and travel time variables
Furthermore, $v_{a}$ and $v_{w}$ can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& v_{a}=\alpha \text { fare }_{a}+\beta \text { time }_{a}+c_{a}  \tag{9}\\
& v_{w}=\alpha \text { fare }_{w}+\beta \text { time }_{w}+c_{w} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

The method of multiple linear regression is used in order to evaluate the parameters in the model shown in equation 6. Taking the natural logarithm function to both sides of the equation, the model can be transformed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log _{n}\left(\frac{T_{i j}}{\text { sharea }_{a}}\right)=\log _{n} k+\alpha_{1}\left(\log _{n} P_{i}+\log _{n} P_{j}\right)+\alpha_{2}\left(\log _{n} G_{i}+\log _{n} G_{j}\right)-\alpha_{3}\left(\log _{n} d_{i j}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The logarithmic equation now forms a linear equation in four independent variables with four parameters to calibrate, $\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1}+\beta_{2} x_{2}+\beta_{3} x_{3} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Y} & =\log _{n}\left(\frac{T_{i j}}{\text { sharea }_{a}}\right) \quad: \text { logarithm of intercity air traffic demand over air mode share } \\
x_{1} & =\log _{n} P_{i}+\log _{n} P_{j}: \text { sum of logarithm of population } \\
x_{2} & =\log _{n} G_{i}+\log _{n} G_{j}: \text { sum of logarithm of gross domestic product } \\
x_{3} & =\log _{n} d_{i j} \quad: \text { logarithm of distance } \\
\beta_{0} & =\log _{n} k \\
\beta_{1} & =\alpha_{1} \\
\beta_{2} & =\alpha_{2} \\
\beta_{3} & =-\alpha_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

The influence of tourists in air travel demand in the country is believed significant, but the non-availability of necessary data to account the tourist factor in the study makes it difficult to pursue.

## 4. DATA

It is deemed appropriate to know the historical trends of air traffic in every route, to be able to figure out what will happen in the future. The available data for air passenger traffic were obtained from the Civil Aviation Authority of the Philippines (CAAP, 2012) from years 2001-2010, as shown in Table 1. These routes were selected where water mode of transportation is also available to compete with the air travel mode.

The distance between routes was obtained through an online distance calculator, as shown in Table 2. The population and gross domestic product by province were obtained from the National Statistical Coordination Board (NSCB) and the National Economic Development Authority (NEDA), as shown in Table 3 and Table 4, respectively.

For the calculation of air mode share between route, the data on passenger demand, fare, and travel time of the two available modes of transport in competition in the country were needed. Only the five main Luzon-Mindanao and Visayas-Mindanao routes with the complete data set are available at the time of the study, as shown in Table 5. During the conduct of this study, the demand for land mode of transportation cannot yet compete with the air and sea modes due to its very long hours of land trip and limited access for roll-on-roll-off facilities for the inter-island connections. It is assumed that the calculated values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ for these routes are also applicable to other routes.

Table 1. Provincial Air passenger traffic at particular airport (x10 ${ }^{3}$ )(Source: CAAP Aerodrome Dev.\& Mgt.)

| Province | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manila/NCR | 5663 | 5283 | 6012 | 7013 | 7263 | 8463 | 9969 | 10979 | 12905 | 14736 |
| Cagayan de Oro /Misamis Oriental | 405 | 468 | 386 | 407 | 464 | 606 | 702 | 902 | 1110 | 1301 |
| Cebu | 171 | 1597 | 1850 | 1805 | 1455 | 609 | 3021 | 2727 | 3453 | 4206 |
| Cotabato | 118 | 63 | 46 | 63 | 87 | 125 | 127 | 105 | 199 | 219 |
| Davao | 94 | 962 | 724 | 855 | 1322 | 1308 | 1502 | 1646 | 1935 | 2202 |
| Dipolog | 50 | 54 | 59 | 75 | 76 | 70 | 121 | 144 | 189 | 181 |
| Dumaguete | 138 | 136 | 149 | 131 | 164 | 164 | 276 | 306 | 361 | 363 |
| General Santos | 148 | 130 | 187 | 151 | 181 | 208 | 310 | 303 | 405 | 456 |
| Iloilo | 640 | 677 | 681 | 740 | 708 | 864 | 1003 | 1074 | 1324 | 1581 |
| Legazpi | 77 | 90 | 92 | 100 | 100 | 146 | 225 | 282 | 139 | 435 |
| Ormoc | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 16 | 18 | 32 |
| Ozamis/Misamis Occidental | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 80 | 161 | 206 |
| Puerto Princesa/ Palawan | 189 | 148 | 196 | 272 | 268 | 284 | 392 | 482 | 588 | 814 |
| Tacloban | 299 | 303 | 284 | 290 | 328 | 400 | 511 | 627 | 832 | 1149 |
| Tagbilaran | 33 | 77 | 106 | 163 | 199 | 241 | 346 | 401 | 563 | 573 |
| Surigao | 4 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 15 | 11 | 27 | 23 | 65 | 90 |
| Zamboanga | 270 | 295 | 309 | 353 | 361 | 396 | 485 | 470 | 583 | 624 |

Table 2. OD of air demand in year 2014 and distance between routes (Source: Distancefrom.com and CAAP)

| Origin/Destination | Air Demand | Distance (km) | Origin/Destination | Air Demand | Distance <br> $(\mathrm{km})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| MNL-CDO | 546000 | 782.42 | CBU-CDO | 59904 | 225.71 |
| MNL-CBU | 1263600 | 569.00 | CBU-DVO | 218400 | 413.46 |
| MNL-CTO | 156000 | 883.52 | CBU-DME | 27872 | 131.33 |
| MNL-DVO | 811200 | 967.37 | CBU-GSC | 28080 | 493.03 |
| MNL-DPG | 109200 | 705.25 | CBU-ILO | 82368 | 148.22 |
| MNL-DME | 202800 | 629.59 | CBU-LZP | 22464 | 308.78 |
| MNL-GSC | 139360 | 1038.33 | CBU-OZM | 52416 | 245.64 |
| MNL-ILO | 546000 | 455.99 | CBU-PPR | 46800 | 565.96 |
| MNL-LZP | 109300 | 331.67 | CBU-SUR | 90932 | 188.54 |
| MNL-ORC | 32258 | 551.37 | CBU-ZBA | 22460 | 432.26 |
| MNL-OZM | 109100 | 771.82 | DVO-ILO | 26208 | 524.7 |
| MNL-PPR | 436800 | 584.48 | DVO-OZM | 18720 | 228.25 |
| MNL-TLN | 436600 | 568.27 | DVO-PPR | 13936 | 816.6 |
| MNL-TBN | 292656 | 622.69 | DVO-ZBA | 29952 | 391.24 |
| MNL-ZBA | 32700 | 850.76 |  |  |  |

Table 3. Population by province ( $\mathrm{x} 10^{3}$ ) (Source: National Statistical Coordination Board)

| Province | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manila | 9969 | 10137 | 10303 | 10466 | 10628 | 10787 | 10944 | 11100 | 11253 | 11403 | 11552 |
| Cagayan de Oro/Misamis Oriental | 1134 | 1162 | 1190 | 1219 | 1249 | 1279 | 1309 | 1341 | 1372 | 1404 | 1437 |
| Cebu | 3377 | 3455 | 3535 | 3616 | 3699 | 3782 | 3868 | 39554 | 4043 | 4133 | 4224 |
| Cotabato | 965 | 986 | 1008 | 1030 | 1052 | 1075 | 1098 | 1122 | 1145 | 1169 | 1194 |
| Davao | 748 | 759 | 771 | 783 | 794 | 807 | 819 | 831 | 843 | 855 | 868 |
| Dipolog | 762 | 776 | 789 | 803 | 817 | 830 | 845 | 859 | 874 | 888 | 902 |
| Dumaguete/ Negros Oriental | 1136 | 1156 | 1176 | 1196 | 1218 | 1239 | 1260 | 1282 | 1304 | 1327 | 1350 |
| General Santos | 111 | 1140 | 1169 | 1199 | 1229 | 1260 | 1291 | 1322 | 1354 | 1386 | 1419 |
| Iloilo | 1934 | 1971 | 2009 | 2047 | 2085 | 2124 | 2165 | 2105 | 2246 | 2288 | 2330 |
| Legazpi/ Albay | 1111 | 1140 | 1169 | 1199 | 1229 | 1260 | 1224 | 1245 | 1268 | 1291 | 1314 |
| Ormoc | 1600 | 1632 | 1664 | 1697 | 1730 | 1764 | 1798 | 1833 | 1868 | 1903 | 1939 |
| Ozamiz/ Misamis Occidental | 489 | 497 | 505 | 514 | 523 | 531 | 540 | 549 | 558 | 567 | 577 |
| Puerto Princesa/ Palawan | 762 | 785 | 810 | 835 | 860 | 886 | 913 | 940 | 968 | 996 | 1026 |
| Surigao | 484 | 493 | 502 | 512 | 522 | 532 | 542 | 552 | 563 | 574 | 585 |
| Tacloban/ Leyte | 1600 | 1632 | 1664 | 1697 | 1730 | 1764 | 1798 | 1833 | 1868 | 1903 | 1939 |
| Tagbilaran/ Bohol | 1141 | 1655 | 1186 | 1207 | 1228 | 1249 | 1271 | 1293 | 1316 | 1339 | 1363 |
| Zamboanga | 1447 | 1477 | 1508 | 1539 | 1571 | 1604 | 1636 | 1670 | 1704 | 1739 | 1774 |

Table 4. Gross domestic product by province (x106) (Source: National Economic Development Authority)

| Province | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Manila/NCR | 1302 | 1413 | 1557 | 1768 | 2016 | 2246 | 2479 | 2740 | 2871 | 3225 |
| Cagayan de Oro/ <br> Misamis <br> Oriental | 27.0 | 35.9 | 39.4 | 44.9 | 49.6 | 55.5 | 62.3 | 72.4 | 60.4 | 68.9 |
| Cebu | 64.1 | 69.5 | 74.1 | 84.5 | 94.6 | 104.2 | 115.5 | 126.8 | 116.1 | 134.6 |
| Cotabato | 18.2 | 25.7 | 27.8 | 32.1 | 35.00 | 39.1 | 44.4 | 50.2 | 43.7 | 49.7 |
| Davao | 51.0 | 43.2 | 46.8 | 53.8 | 60.0 | 65.9 | 74.0 | 84.2 | 84.1 | 93.8 |
| Dipolog | 20.9 | 22.6 | 24.1 | 26.8 | 30.2 | 33.7 | 37.9 | 43.3 | 42.6 | 46.0 |
| Dumaguete/ <br> Negros Oriental | 64.1 | 70.0 | 74.1 | 84.4 | 94.6 | 104.2 | 115.6 | 126.8 | 116.1 | 134.6 |
| General Santos | 18.2 | 25.7 | 27.8 | 32.1 | 35.0 | 39.1 | 44.4 | 50.2 | 43.7 | 49.7 |
| Iloilo | 39.1 | 43.3 | 46.9 | 52.8 | 59.2 | 66.1 | 73.1 | 83.5 | 65.9 | 58.4 |
| Legazpi/Albay | 15.5 | 17.2 | 18.3 | 20.6 | 22.8 | 24.7 | 28.1 | 31.5 | 28.5 | 31.0 |
| Ormoc | 14.2 | 15.6 | 16.6 | 19.1 | 20.8 | 22.9 | 23.5 | 27.5 | 36.4 | 37.7 |
| Ozamis/Misamis <br> Occidental | 27.0 | 35.9 | 39.4 | 44.9 | 49.6 | 55.5 | 62.3 | 72.4 | 60.4 | 68.9 |
| Puerto Princesa/ <br> Palawan | 15.4 | 16.6 | 18.4 | 20.0 | 22.9 | 24.7 | 27.8 | 32.5 | 30.9 | 31.8 |
| Tacloban/Leyte | 14.2 | 15.6 | 16.6 | 19.1 | 20.8 | 22.9 | 23.5 | 27.5 | 36.4 | 37.8 |
| Tagbilaran/Bohol | 64.1 | 69.5 | 74.1 | 84.5 | 94.6 | 104.2 | 115.6 | 126.8 | 116.1 | 134.6 |
| Surigao | 12.4 | 13.1 | 13.7 | 15.0 | 16.6 | 19.3 | 24.1 | 25.0 | 21.8 | 24.6 |
| Zamboanga | 20.9 | 22.6 | 24.1 | 26.8 | 30.2 | 33.7 | 37.9 | 43.3 | 42.6 | 46.0 |

Table 5. Number of Passengers, travel time and fare in five complete data routes for year 2010
(Source: CAAP and published fare matrix of airline and waterline companies)

| Sector | Air Mode | Water Mode |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Manila - Cagayan de Oro |  |  |
| Number of Passengers | 546000 |  |
| Travel Time (min) | 89 | 84773 |
| Fare (Pesos) | 3030 | 1343 |
| Manila - Ozamis |  | 1610 |
| Number of Passengers | 109200 |  |
| Travel Time (min) | 88 | 37876 |
| Fare (Pesos) | 2488 | 1511 |
| Cebu - Cagayan de Oro |  | 1700 |
| Number of Passengers | 59904 |  |
| Travel Time (min) | 46 | 263920 |
| Fare (Pesos) | 1350 | 614 |
| Cebu - Ozamis |  | 350 |
| Number of Passengers | 52416 |  |
| Travel Time (min) | 48 | 180075 |
| Fare (Pesos) | 1170 | 611 |
| Cebu - Surigao |  | 880 |
| Number of Passengers | 90932 |  |
| Travel Time (min) | 44 | 41261 |
| Fare (Pesos) | 1071 | 550 |

## 5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 5.1. Correlation of Variables

In order to check the relationship between dependent variable and independent variables, a correlation test was conducted. Figure 3 shows graphical display between the GDP and the total number of air passenger traffic from 2000-2010 and Table 6 shows the correlational relationship between the two variables.


Figure 3. GDP vs. Air Passenger

Table 6. Correlational Relationship of GDP and Air Passenger Traffic

|  |  | AIR_Passenger | GDP |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| AIR_Passenger | Pearson Correlation | 1 | $.758^{* *}$ |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) |  | .004 |
|  | N | 12 | 12 |
| GDP | Pearson Correlation | $.758^{* *}$ | 1 |
|  | Sig. (2-tailed) | .004 |  |
|  | N | 12 | 12 |

The trends in air passengers and the GDP in Figure 3 shows that, as the Gross Domestic Product of the country increases, the air traffic movement also increases. The Pearson correlational value of 0.758 in Table 6 also shows that the two variables have significant relationship. This is an expected movement which shows that as the economic growth increases, there will also be more activities generated from one place to another.

On the other hand, Figure 4 shows the relationship between the population and the air passenger traffic from 2000-2010.


Figure 4. Population vs. Air Passenger Traffic

Figure 4 shows that as the population increases through time, there is also an increase of the air passenger traffic in the country. The Pearson correlational value of 0.823 implies that the two variables have significant relationship.

### 5.2 Multiple Linear Regression Analysis of the Model

The fare and time difference in five competing modes shown in Table 5 were computed for the statistical analysis to compute for the air mode share. The results are as follows:

Table 7. Computed Values of $\alpha, \beta, c_{a}$ and $c_{b}$

| Parameter | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $c_{a}$ | $C_{w}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | -0.00008149 | -0.001 | -0.796 | 0 |

Thus the air mode share can be expressed as

$$
\operatorname{share}_{a}=\frac{e^{v_{a}}}{e^{v_{a}}+e^{v_{w}}}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{a} & =-0.00008149 \text { fare }_{a}-0.001 \text { time }_{a}-0.796 \\
v_{w} & =-0.00008149 \text { fare }_{w}-0.001 \text { time }_{w}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 7 shows the computed values of the coefficients $\alpha, \beta$, and the $c_{a}$ and $c_{w}$ are the constants the competing air and water modes of transportation. The expected signs
showed up for the variables fare and time and it significantly means that as values of these variables decrease the travel demand increase.

The values of $\alpha, \beta, c_{a}$ and $c_{b}$ were used in the calculation of air mode share for all routes throughout the country.

For the calibration of air travel demand forecasting model using multiple linear regression analysis, equation 12 below is used, where Y is the dependent variable. Tables 8 show the results of the analysis.

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1}+\beta_{2} x_{2}+\beta_{3} x_{3} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 8. Results of linear regression for all routes

| Variables | Coefficient $\beta$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{x}_{1}$, sum of $\log$ of population | 0.282 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{1}$, sum of $\log$ of GDP | 0.459 |
| $\mathrm{x}_{1}$, sum of $\log$ of distance | -0.466 |
| Constant, $\log _{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{k}$ | -4.691 |

$\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.622$

Table 8 shows the results of the multiple linear regression analysis. The negative sign for the distance variable is expected as this means that the decrease of the distance equates to an increase of the travel demand.

From the regression analysis of all routes, the signs for the independent variables are both positive for the population and the gross domestic product and a negative sign for the distance variable. These support the underlying relationships in the modified gravity model from previous researchers that, as the population and gross domestic product increases, air demand also increases and as distance decreases air demand increases. The value of multiple coefficient of determination ( $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ) has showed that $62 \%$ of the variance in the dependent variable has been explained by the independent variables in the model.

## 6. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Domestic air travel demand in the Philippines has been studied using the modified gravity model and the data available at the conduct of the study. It is found out that $62 \%\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$ of the variation in the dependent variable is explained by the independent variables in the model. The result of this study is not satisfactory for a comprehensive type of study due to the limitations in the data gathered. The $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ value is believed to improve when a more detailed data are available. The air share mode may also be calibrated to include other routes.

Considering the limitations of this study and based from the above findings, it is recommended to further improve the study by intensifying the gathering of data, use of MAPE to calculate the accuracy of the gravity model and by also identifying other factors that may influence the air travel movements in the country.
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